



**Inventory Costing
and
Capacity Analysis**

CHAPTER 9



INVENTORY COSTING CHOICES: OVERVIEW

Absorption costing—product costs are capitalized; period costs are expensed.

Variable costing—variable product and period costs are capitalized; fixed product and period costs are expensed.

Throughput costing—only direct materials are capitalized; all other costs are expensed.

COSTING COMPARISON

Variable costing is a method of inventory costing in which *only* variable manufacturing costs are included as inventoriable costs.

Absorption costing is a method of inventory costing in which *all* variable manufacturing costs and *all* fixed manufacturing costs are included as inventoriable costs.

DIFFERENCES IN INCOME

Operating income will differ between absorption and variable costing.

The amount of the difference represents the amount of fixed product costs capitalized as inventory under absorption costing, and expensed as a period costs under variable costing.

COMPARATIVE INCOME STATEMENTS

A				B	C	D	E		F	G
Panel A: VARIABLE COSTING							Panel B: ABSORPTION COSTING			
Revenues: \$1,000 x 6,000 units					\$6,000,000		Revenues: \$1,000 x 6,000 units			
Variable cost of goods sold:							Cost of goods sold:			
Beginning inventory				\$ 0			Beginning inventory			
Variable manufacturing costs: \$200 x 8,000 units				1,600,000			Variable manufacturing costs: \$200 x 8,000 units			
							Allocated fixed manufacturing costs: \$135 x 8,000 units			
Cost of goods available for sale				1,600,000			Cost of goods available for sale			
Deduct ending inventory: \$200 x 2,000 units				(400,000)			Deduct ending inventory: \$335 x 2,000 units			
Variable cost of goods sold					1,200,000		Cost of goods sold			
Variable marketing costs: \$185 x 6,000 units sold					1,110,000					
Contribution margin					3,690,000		Gross Margin			
Fixed manufacturing costs					1,080,000		Variable marketing costs: \$185 x 6,000 units sold			
Fixed marketing cost					1,380,000		Fixed marketing costs			
Operating income					\$1,230,000		Operating Income			
Manufacturing costs expensed in Panel A:							Manufacturing costs expensed in Panel B:			
Variable cost of goods sold					\$1,200,000					
Fixed manufacturing costs					1,080,000					
Total					\$2,280,000		Cost of goods sold			
							\$2,010,000			

COMPARATIVE INCOME STATEMENTS—THREE

YEARS

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Panel A: VARIABLE COSTING						
2		2012		2013		2014	
3	Revenues: \$1,000 × 6,000; 6,500; 7,500 units		\$6,000,000		\$6,500,000		\$7,500,000
4	Variable cost of goods sold:						
5	Beginning inventory: \$200 × 0; 2,000; 500 units	\$ 0		\$ 400,000		\$ 100,000	
6	Variable manufacturing costs: \$200 × 8,000; 5,000; 10,000 units	1,600,000		1,000,000		2,000,000	
7	Cost of goods available for sale	1,600,000		1,400,000		2,100,000	
8	Deduct ending inventory: \$200 × 2,000; 500; 3,000 units	(400,000)		(100,000)		(600,000)	
9	Variable cost of goods sold		1,200,000		1,300,000		1,500,000
10	Variable marketing costs: \$185 × 6,000; 6,500; 7,500 units		1,110,000		1,202,500		1,387,500
11	Contribution margin		3,690,000		3,997,500		4,612,500
12	Fixed manufacturing costs		1,080,000		1,080,000		1,080,000
13	Fixed marketing costs		1,380,000		1,380,000		1,380,000
14	Operating income		\$1,230,000		\$1,537,500		\$2,152,500
15							
16	Panel B: ABSORPTION COSTING						
17		2012		2013		2014	
18	Revenues: \$1,000 × 6,000; 6,500; 7,500 units		\$6,000,000		\$6,500,000		\$7,500,000
19	Cost of goods sold:						
20	Beginning inventory: \$335 × 0; 2,000; 500 units	\$ 0		\$ 670,000		\$ 167,500	
21	Variable manufacturing costs: \$200 × 8,000; 5,000; 10,000 units	1,600,000		1,000,000		2,000,000	
22	Allocated fixed manufacturing costs: \$135 × 8,000; 5,000; 10,000 units	1,080,000		675,000		1,350,000	
23	Cost of goods available for sale	2,680,000		2,345,000		3,517,500	
24	Deduct ending inventory: \$335 × 2,000; 500; 3,000 units	(670,000)		(167,500)		(1,005,000)	
25	Adjustment for production-volume variance ^a	0		405,000	U	(270,000)	F
26	Cost of goods sold		2,010,000		2,582,500		2,242,500
27	Gross Margin		3,990,000		3,917,500		5,257,500
28	Variable marketing costs: \$185 × 6,000; 6,500; 7,500 units		1,110,000		1,202,500		1,387,500
29	Fixed marketing costs		1,380,000		1,380,000		1,380,000
30	Operating Income		\$1,500,000		\$1,335,000		\$2,490,000
31							

^aProduction-volume variance = Budgeted fixed manufacturing costs – Fixed manufacturing overhead allocated using budgeted cost per output unit allowed for actual output produced (Panel B, line 22)

2012: \$1,080,000 – (\$135 × 8,000) = \$1,080,000 – \$1,080,000 = \$0

2013: \$1,080,000 – (\$135 × 5,000) = \$1,080,000 – \$675,000 = \$405,000 U

2014: \$1,080,000 – (\$135 × 10,000) = \$1,080,000 – \$1,350,000 = (\$270,000) F

Production volume variance can also be calculated as follows:

Fixed manufacturing cost per unit × (Denominator level – Actual output units produced)

2012: \$135 × (8,000 – 8,000) units = \$135 × 0 = \$0

2013: \$135 × (8,000 – 5,000) units = \$135 × 3,000 = \$405,000 U

2014: \$135 × (8,000 – 10,000) units = \$135 × (2,000) = (\$270,000) F

COMPARATIVE INCOME EFFECTS

	Variable Costing	Absorption Costing
Are fixed product costs inventoried?	No	Yes
Is there a production-volume variance?	No	Yes
Are classifications between variable	Yes	Infrequently

COMPARATIVE INCOME EFFECTS

	Variable Costing	Absorption Costing
How do changes in unit inventory cost affect operating income if...?		
Production = Sales	Equal	Equal
Production > Sales	Lower	Higher
Production < Sales	Higher	Lower

COMPARATIVE INCOME EFFECTS

	Variable Costing	Absorption Costing
What are the effects on cost-volume-profit for a given level of fixed costs and a given contribution margin per unit?	Driven by: unit level of sales	Driven by: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Unit level of sales2. Unit level of production3. Chosen denominator level

COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVE INVENTORY COSTING SYSTEMS

Variable Direct Manufacturing Cost

Actual Costing	Normal Costing	Standard Costing
Actual prices X Actual quantity of inputs used	Actual prices X Actual quantity of inputs used	Standard prices X Standard quantity of inputs allowed for actual output achieved

COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVE INVENTORY COSTING SYSTEMS

Variable Indirect Manufacturing Cost

Actual Costing	Normal Costing	Standard Costing
<p data-bbox="222 746 575 853">Actual variable indirect rates</p> <p data-bbox="382 882 421 925">X</p> <p data-bbox="195 961 606 1146">Actual quantity of cost-allocation bases used</p>	<p data-bbox="759 746 1190 853">Budgeted variable indirect rates</p> <p data-bbox="952 882 991 925">X</p> <p data-bbox="770 961 1182 1146">Actual quantity of cost-allocation bases used</p>	<p data-bbox="1336 668 1760 775">Standard variable indirect rates</p> <p data-bbox="1530 803 1568 846">X</p> <p data-bbox="1309 882 1792 1196">Standard quantity of cost-allocation bases allowed for actual output achieved</p>

COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVE INVENTORY COSTING SYSTEMS

Fixed Direct Manufacturing Cost

Actual Costing	Normal Costing	Standard Costing
Actual prices X Actual quantity of inputs used	Actual prices X Actual quantity of inputs used	Standard prices X Standard quantity of inputs allowed for actual output achieved

COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVE INVENTORY COSTING SYSTEMS

Fixed Indirect Manufacturing Cost

Actual Costing	Normal Costing	Standard Costing
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Actual fixed} \\ \text{indirect rates} \\ \times \\ \text{Actual quantity} \\ \text{of cost-allocation} \\ \text{bases used} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Budgeted fixed} \\ \text{indirect rates} \\ \times \\ \text{Actual quantity} \\ \text{of cost-allocation} \\ \text{bases used} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Standard fixed} \\ \text{indirect rates} \\ \times \\ \text{Standard quantity} \\ \text{of cost-allocation} \\ \text{bases allowed for} \\ \text{actual output} \\ \text{achieved} \end{array}$

PERFORMANCE ISSUES AND ABSORPTION COSTING

Managers may seek to manipulate income by producing too many units.

Production beyond demand will increase the amount of inventory on hand.

This will result in more fixed costs being capitalized as inventory.

That will leave a smaller amount of fixed costs to be expensed during the period.

Profit increases, and potentially, so does a manager's bonus.

INVENTORIES AND COSTING METHODS

One way to prevent the unnecessary buildup of inventory for bonus purposes is to base manager's bonuses on profit calculated using variable costing.

Drawback: complicated system of producing two inventory figures—one for external reporting and the other for bonus calculations.

OTHER MANIPULATION SCHEMES BEYOND SIMPLE OVERPRODUCTION

Deciding to manufacture products that absorb the highest amount of fixed costs, regardless of demand (“cherry-picking”)

Accepting an order to increase production, even though another plant in the same firm is better suited to handle that order

Deferring maintenance

MANAGEMENT COUNTERMEASURES FOR FIXED COST MANIPULATION SCHEMES

Careful budgeting and inventory planning

Incorporate an internal carrying charge for inventory

Change (lengthen) the period used to evaluate performance

Include nonfinancial as well as financial variables in the measures to evaluate performance

INCOME EFFECTS OF INVENTORY BUILDUP

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1 Unit Data											
2 Beginning inventory		2,000		2,000		2,000		2,000		2,000	
3 Production		4,500		5,000		6,500		8,000		9,000	
4 Goods available for sale		6,500		7,000		8,500		10,000		11,000	
5 Sales		6,500		6,500		6,500		6,500		6,500	
6 Ending inventory		0		500		2,000		3,500		4,500	
7											
8 Income Statement											
9 Revenues		\$6,500,000		\$6,500,000		\$6,500,000		\$6,500,000		\$6,500,000	
10 Cost of goods sold:											
11 Beginning inventory (\$335 x 2,000)		670,000		670,000		670,000		670,000		670,000	
12 Variable manufacturing costs: \$200 x production		900,000		1,000,000		1,300,000		1,600,000		1,800,000	
13 Allocated fixed manufacturing costs: \$135 x production		607,500		675,000		877,500		1,080,000		1,215,000	
14 Cost of goods available for sale		2,177,500		2,345,000		2,847,500		3,350,000		3,685,000	
15 Deduct ending inventory: \$335 x ending inventory		0		(167,500)		(670,000)		(1,172,500)		(1,507,500)	
16 Adjustment for production-volume variance ^a		472,500	U	405,000	U	202,500	U	0		(135,000)	F
17 Cost of goods sold		2,650,000		2,582,500		2,380,000		2,177,500		2,042,500	
18 Gross Margin		3,850,000		3,917,500		4,120,000		4,322,500		4,457,500	
19 Marketing costs: (\$1,380,000 + \$185 per unit x 6,500 units sold)		2,582,500		2,582,500		2,582,500		2,582,500		2,582,500	
20 Operating Income		\$1,267,500		\$1,335,000		\$1,537,500		\$1,740,000		\$1,875,000	
21											
22 ^a Production-volume variance = Budgeted fixed manufacturing costs - Allocated fixed manufacturing costs (Income Statement, line 13)											
23 At production of 4,500 units: \$1,080,000 - \$607,500 = \$472,500 U											
24 At production of 5,000 units: \$1,080,000 - \$675,000 = \$405,000 U											
25 At production of 6,500 units: \$1,080,000 - \$877,500 = \$202,500 U											
26 At production of 8,000 units: \$1,080,000 - \$1,080,000 = \$0											
27 At production of 9,000 units: \$1,080,000 - \$1,215,000 = (\$135,000) F											

EXTREME VARIABLE COSTING: THROUGHPUT COSTING

Throughput costing (super-variable costing) is a method of inventory costing in which *only* direct material costs are included as inventory costs. All other product costs are treated as operating expenses.

THROUGHPUT COSTING ILLUSTRATED

	A	B	C	D
1		2012	2013	2014
2	Revenues: \$1,000 × 6,000; 6,500; 7,500 units	\$6,000,000	\$6,500,000	\$7,500,000
3	Direct material cost of goods sold			
4	Beginning inventory: \$110 × 0; 2,000; 500 units	0	220,000	55,000
5	Direct materials: \$110 × 8,000; 5,000; 10,000 units	880,000	550,000	1,100,000
6	Cost of goods available for sale	880,000	770,000	1,155,000
7	Deduct ending inventory: \$110 × 2,000; 500; 3,000 units	(220,000)	(55,000)	(330,000)
8	Direct material cost of goods sold	660,000	715,000	825,000
9	Throughput margin ^a	5,340,000	5,785,000	6,675,000
10	Manufacturing costs (other than direct materials) ^b	1,800,000	1,530,000	1,980,000
11	Marketing costs ^c	2,490,000	2,582,500	2,767,500
12	Operating income	\$1,050,000	\$1,672,500	\$1,927,500
13				
14	^a Throughput margin equals revenues minus all direct material cost of goods sold			
15	^b Fixed manuf. costs + [(variable manuf. labor cost per unit + variable manuf. overhead cost per unit)			
16	× units produced]; \$1,080,000 + [(\$40 + \$50) × 8,000; 5,000; 10,000 units]			
17	^c Fixed marketing costs + (variable marketing cost per unit × units sold);			
18	\$1,380,000 + (\$185 × 6,000; 6,500; 7,500 units)			

COSTING SYSTEMS COMPARED

		Actual Costing	Normal Costing	Standard Costing	
Absorption Costing	Variable Costing	Variable Direct Manufacturing Cost	Actual prices × Actual quantity of inputs used	Actual prices × Actual quantity of inputs used	Standard prices × Standard quantity of inputs allowed for actual output achieved
		Variable Manufacturing Overhead Costs	Actual variable overhead rates × Actual quantity of cost-allocation bases used	Budgeted variable overhead rates × Actual quantity of cost-allocation bases used	Standard variable overhead rates × Standard quantity of cost-allocation bases allowed for actual output achieved
	Fixed Direct Manufacturing Costs	Actual prices × Actual quantity of inputs used	Actual prices × Actual quantity of inputs used	Standard prices × Standard quantity of inputs allowed for actual output achieved	
	Fixed Manufacturing Overhead Costs	Actual fixed overhead rates × Actual quantity of cost-allocation bases used	Budgeted fixed overhead rates × Actual quantity of cost-allocation bases used	Standard fixed overhead rates × Standard quantity of cost-allocation bases allowed for actual output achieved	



This work is protected by United States copyright laws and is provided solely for the use of instructors in teaching their courses and assessing student learning. Dissemination or sale of any part of this work (including on the World Wide Web) will destroy the integrity of the work and is not permitted. The work and materials from it should never be made available to students except by instructors using the accompanying text in their classes. All recipients of this work are expected to abide by these restrictions and to honor the intended pedagogical purposes and the needs of other instructors who rely on these materials.